

## Fermionic long-range correlations realized by particles obeying deformed statistics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 L487

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/33/48/104>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.124

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:44

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**Fermionic long-range correlations realized by particles obeying deformed statistics**Andreas Osterloh<sup>†‡</sup>, Luigi Amico<sup>‡</sup> and Ulrich Eckern<sup>†</sup><sup>†</sup> Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany<sup>‡</sup> Dipartimento di Metodologie Fisiche e Chimiche (DMFCI), Università di Catania, viale A Doria 6, I-95129 Catania, Italy, and Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia, Unità di Catania, Italy

Received 11 July 2000, in final form 17 October 2000

**Abstract.** Deformed exchange statistics is realized in terms of electronic operators. This is employed to rewrite Hubbard type lattice models for particles obeying deformed statistics (we refer to them as deformed models) as lattice models for electrons. The resulting models show up gauge-like modulations in the hopping processes, which induce long-range correlations in the lattice. The conditions for the Bethe ansatz solvability of the latter are interpreted as restrictions imposed on the statistics to be compatible with the Bethe ansatz solvability of the deformed models. It is found that solvable deformed models are not unitarily equivalent to fermionic models if the exchange of particles with the same spin orientations is deformed. Statistics deformations, where the exchange relation of two particles is influenced by the presence of other particles, cannot be realized by fermionic operators.

The statistics of degrees of freedom drastically affects the physical properties of a many-particle system. Besides bosonic and fermionic statistics, a continuous family of intermediate statistics serves to explain important effects involved in two- or one-dimensional physics. Remarkably, in  $D = 2$ , excitations in the fractional quantum Hall effect can be described as anyons [1, 2]. One-dimensional systems can occur either because only one-dimensional dynamics is allowed in the system (even if the system lives in higher dimensions) or because the samples are indeed one dimensional (such as quantum wires, carbon nanotubes, systems with charge density wave order, etc). In this paper we shall focus on deformed statistics in one dimension.

Defining arbitrary statistics in one dimension exhibits several peculiarities [3]. In particular, imposing statistics in one dimension can be interpreted as a ‘continuity condition’ on the wavefunction (arising from the set of coordinates such that two or more particles coincide), fixing its symmetry. One-dimensional fractional statistics arises since this constraint on the wavefunction can be imposed arbitrarily. Explicit realizations of one-dimensional fractional statistics quasi-particles, formulated in ‘first quantization’, are the eigenstates of Calogero–Sutherland models.

In [4] and [5], the notion of deformed exchange statistics (DES) was defined as a specific deformation of electronic commutation rules (in second quantization). Mathematical aspects of this type of statistics have been also studied in [6, 7]. We applied DES to investigate how robust is the solvability by the coordinate Bethe ansatz (CBA) [8, 9] of the XXZ and the Hubbard model with respect to such a modification of the particle content (we use the name *solvable DES* for those DES preserving CBA solvability).

Following different physics, Schulz and Shastry [10] have included long-range correlations in the Hubbard model through a gauge-like modification of the kinetic term of the Hamiltonian. In [5] we have extended the CBA solution of Schulz–Shastry models to models where more general forms of the correlation have been considered; characterizations focusing on the CBA solvability of such a kind of correlated model have been reached. The Bethe equations arising from solvable Schulz–Shastry type models show that the long-range correlations induce a twist in the boundary conditions.

In this Letter we show that the solvable deformed Hubbard model (without Schulz–Shastry type correlations) is equivalent to adding correlations similar to those discussed by Schulz and Shastry [10] to the undeformed Hubbard model. We prove this by realizing DES operators by composites of electronic operators. Using the results of [11] we can characterize DES which preserve the CBA solvability of the undeformed model (see equation (17)).

Particles obeying DES have creation and annihilation operators obeying the following deformed commutation rules:

$$f_{j,\sigma}^\dagger f_{k,\sigma'} + \mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} f_{k,\sigma'} f_{j,\sigma}^\dagger = \delta_{j,k} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \quad (1)$$

$$f_{j,\sigma} f_{k,\sigma'} + \mathcal{Q}_{k,j}^{\sigma',\sigma} f_{k,\sigma'} f_{j,\sigma} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Such an algebra is consistent for non-trivial  $\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}$  if

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} = (\mathcal{Q}_{k,j}^{\sigma',\sigma})^{-1} = (\mathcal{Q}_{k,j}^{\sigma',\sigma})^\dagger. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore it will be postulated that

$$[f_{j,\sigma}^\dagger, \mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}] = [f_{j,\sigma}, \mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}] = 0. \quad (4)$$

The operators  $\nu_{j,\sigma} \doteq f_{j,\sigma}^\dagger f_{j,\sigma}$  are the particle-number operators. Note that the relation (1) is formally analogous to quon commutation rules (called  $q$ -CCR in [6]), where relation (2), however, cannot hold except for the fermionic and bosonic case [12]. Here, in contrast to the quon algebra, the deformation parameter depends on site and spin indices ( $j, \sigma | k, \sigma'$ ) (this statistics is of the type called  $q_{ij}$ -CCR in [6]).

Equations (3) and (4) guarantee that the particles are representations of the permutation group  $S_N$  and ensure the validity of the standard commutation relations:  $[\nu_{i,\sigma}, \nu_{j,\sigma'}] = 0$ ,  $[\nu_{i,\sigma}, f_{j,\sigma'}^\dagger] = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} f_{j,\sigma'}^\dagger$  and  $[\nu_{i,\sigma}, f_{j,\sigma'}] = -\delta_{i,j} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} f_{j,\sigma'}$ . This provides a well defined Fock representation of the algebra defined in equations (1) and (2).

The key observation is that the DES defined by equations (1)–(4) are representable using operators which are composites of electronic creation/annihilation operators:

$$f_{i,\sigma}^\dagger := c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \exp\left(i[\Delta_{i,l}^{\sigma;\lambda} n_{l,\lambda} + \Delta_{i,l,m}^{\sigma;\lambda,\mu} n_{l,\lambda} n_{m,\mu} + \dots]\right) \quad (5)$$

where, without loss of generality,  $\Delta$  vanishes for any two coinciding index pairs and is symmetric in exchanging arbitrary index pairs behind the semicolon. The number operators remain unchanged after this realization:  $\nu$  transport into the number operators  $n$  for fermions. The resulting deformation parameters are

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} = \exp\left(i[(\Delta_{k;j}^{\sigma';\sigma} - \Delta_{j;k}^{\sigma;\sigma'}) + 2(\Delta_{k;j,m}^{\sigma';\sigma,\mu} - \Delta_{j;k,m}^{\sigma;\sigma',\mu})n_{m,\mu} + \dots]\right). \quad (6)$$

From equation (6) it is seen that no deformation occurs iff  $\Delta$  is totally symmetric in the index pairs. Thus, it will be assumed to be antisymmetric with respect to exchanging one index pair behind the semicolon with the index pair in front of it. This already implies that  $\Delta$  vanishes if it has more than two index pairs. The deformation parameter expressed in terms of the parameters  $\Delta$  in equation (5) is

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} = \exp\left(2i\Delta_{k;j}^{\sigma';\sigma}\right) = \exp\left(-2i\Delta_{j;k}^{\sigma;\sigma'}\right). \quad (7)$$

As a consequence it is impossible to represent correlated DES (that is DES with  $\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$  being a functional of  $n_{m,\mu}$ ) through the realization (5).

Before we continue the discussion of DES, it is worth noting that a totally symmetric part in  $\Delta$  creates correlated hopping (without changing the statistics of the particles). For this reason, the fermionic hopping term, which is created by the realization (5) of the degrees of freedom of the deformed Hubbard model is calculated for general  $\Delta$ :

$$H = -t \sum_{i,\sigma} (f_{i,\sigma}^\dagger f_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{h.c.}) + U \sum_i v_{i,\uparrow} v_{i,\downarrow} \quad (8)$$

where  $f_{i,\sigma}, f_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$  ( $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ , or equivalently  $\sigma \in \{1/2, -1/2\}$ ), obey the deformed relations (1) and (2). The two contributions in the Hamiltonian are the hopping term (the  $t$ -term) and the Coulomb interaction term (the  $U$ -term). Now we realize the operators  $f_{i,\sigma}^\dagger$  through electronic operators, using equation (5). Then the  $t$ -term in (8) is rewritten as

$$f_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger f_{j,\sigma} = c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} \exp \left( i \left[ -\Delta_{j+1;j}^{\sigma;\sigma} + (\Delta_{j+1;m}^{\sigma;\mu} - \Delta_{j;m}^{\sigma;\mu} - 2\Delta_{j+1;j,m}^{\sigma;\sigma,\mu}) n_{m,\mu} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + (\Delta_{j+1;l,m}^{\sigma;\lambda,\mu} - \Delta_{j;l,m}^{\sigma;\lambda,\mu} - 3\Delta_{j+1;j,m,l}^{\sigma;\sigma,\mu,\lambda}) n_{l,\lambda} n_{m,\mu} + \dots \right] \right). \quad (9)$$

Taking explicit account of the subrelevant parts, i.e. the terms in which the number operator  $n_{j,\sigma}$  appears, this is equivalent to

$$f_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger f_{j,\sigma} = c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} \exp \left( i \left[ (\tilde{\Delta}_{j+1;m}^{\sigma;\mu} - \tilde{\Delta}_{j;m}^{\sigma;\mu}) n_{m,\mu} + (\tilde{\Delta}_{j+1;l,m}^{\sigma;\lambda,\mu} - \tilde{\Delta}_{j;l,m}^{\sigma;\lambda,\mu}) n_{l,\lambda} n_{m,\mu} + \dots \right] \right) \quad (10)$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is the same as  $\Delta$  except that now  $\tilde{\Delta}_{j+1,j,\dots}^{\sigma;\sigma,\dots} = 0$  [11]. We find that the solvability conditions obtained in [11] are all fulfilled for  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and hence the boundary phases are then given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^L (\tilde{\Delta}_{j+1,\dots}^{\sigma,\dots} - \tilde{\Delta}_{j,\dots}^{\sigma,\dots}) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Hence we obtain as a result that a totally symmetric part can always be gauged away without a residual boundary phase.

Now we continue the study of DES. That means we now restrict ourselves to antisymmetric  $\Delta_{m,n}^{\mu,\nu}$ . All parameters with more than two index pairs are zero.

Since the electron number operators coincide with the  $\nu$  operators, the  $U$ -term in (9) coincides with the Hubbard Coulomb interaction:  $U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}$ . Writing the  $t$ -term in the form used in [10] and [11], namely

$$\sum_{j,\sigma} \left\{ c_{j+1,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} \exp(i\gamma_j(\sigma)) \exp \left[ i \sum_l (\alpha_{j,l}(\sigma) n_{l,-\sigma} + A_{j,l}(\sigma) n_{l,\sigma}) \right] + \text{h.c.} \right\}$$

we can make a comparison with equation (9), and the parameters can be identified as

$$\gamma_j(\sigma) = -\Delta_{j+1;j}^{\sigma;\sigma} \quad (12)$$

$$\alpha_{j,m}(\sigma) = \Delta_{j+1;m}^{\sigma;-\sigma} - \Delta_{j;m}^{\sigma;-\sigma} \quad (13)$$

$$A_{j,m}(\sigma) = \Delta_{j+1;m}^{\sigma;\sigma} - \Delta_{j;m}^{\sigma;\sigma}. \quad (14)$$

The deformed Hubbard model is CBA solvable if the following conditions are fulfilled [11]:

$$\alpha_{m,j+1}(-\sigma) - \alpha_{m,j}(-\sigma) = \alpha_{j,m+1}(\sigma) - \alpha_{j,m}(\sigma) \quad (15)$$

$$A_{m,j+1}(\sigma) - A_{m,j}(\sigma) = A_{j,m+1}(\sigma) - A_{j,m}(\sigma) \quad \text{for } m \neq j, j \pm 1. \quad (16)$$

These conditions for CBA solvability can be expressed directly in terms of the deformation parameters  $\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$  as

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{j,k+1}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \mathcal{Q}_{j+1,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}}{\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \mathcal{Q}_{j+1,k+1}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} = 1 \quad (17)$$

for  $k \neq j, j \pm 1 \vee \sigma \neq \sigma'$ .

If the conditions (17) are fulfilled, the Bethe equations for periodic boundary conditions are

$$e^{ip_j L} = e^{-i\Phi_\uparrow} \prod_{a=1}^{N_\downarrow} \frac{i(\sin p_j - \zeta_a) - \frac{U}{4t}}{i(\sin p_j - \zeta_a) + \frac{U}{4t}} \quad (18)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^{N_\downarrow} \frac{i(\zeta_a - \zeta_b) + \frac{U}{2t}}{i(\zeta_a - \zeta_b) - \frac{U}{2t}} = e^{-i(\Phi_\uparrow - \Phi_\downarrow)} \prod_{l=1}^N \frac{i(\sin p_l - \zeta_a) - \frac{U}{4t}}{i(\sin p_l - \zeta_a) + \frac{U}{4t}}$$

where the boundary twists are given by

$$\Phi_\sigma := \phi(\sigma) + \phi_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{(1)}(\sigma) N_{-\sigma} + \phi_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{(1)}(\sigma) (N_\sigma - 1). \quad (19)$$

They can be written in terms of the parameters entering the statistics in the following way:

$$\phi_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{(1)}(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^L \alpha_{j,m}(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^L (\Delta_{j+1;m}^{\sigma;-\sigma} - \Delta_{j;m}^{\sigma;-\sigma}) = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\uparrow\uparrow}^{(1)}(\sigma) &= \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m-1,m}}^L A_{j,m}(\sigma) + A_{m,m-1}(\sigma) + A_{m-1,m+1}(\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^L (\Delta_{j+1;m}^{\sigma;\sigma} - \Delta_{j;m}^{\sigma;\sigma}) + \Delta_{m+1;m-1}^{\sigma;\sigma} - \Delta_{m;m-1}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{m;m+1}^{\sigma;\sigma} \\ &\quad - \Delta_{m-1;m+1}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{m-1;m}^{\sigma;\sigma} - \Delta_{m+1;m}^{\sigma;\sigma} \\ &= 2(\Delta_{m+1;m-1}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{m;m+1}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{m-1;m}^{\sigma;\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\phi(\sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^L (\gamma_j(\sigma) + A_{j,j}(\sigma)) = \sum_{j=1}^L [-\Delta_{j+1;j}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{j+1;j}^{\sigma;\sigma}] = 0. \quad (22)$$

Thus the total boundary phase is obtained as

$$\Phi_\sigma = 2(\Delta_{m+1;m-1}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{m;m+1}^{\sigma;\sigma} + \Delta_{m-1;m}^{\sigma;\sigma})(N_\sigma - 1). \quad (23)$$

Using equation (7) we obtain

$$\exp(i\Phi_\sigma) = \mathcal{Q}_{m-1,m+1}^{\sigma,\sigma} \mathcal{Q}_{m+1,m}^{\sigma,\sigma} \mathcal{Q}_{m,m-1}^{\sigma,\sigma}. \quad (24)$$

We point out that the only non-vanishing phases arise from statistics deformation for particles having the same spin orientation.

A consequence of this result is that every translationally invariant uncorrelated DES does not affect the spectrum. This includes purely spin-dependent DES. This can be seen by noting that for a translationally invariant deformation parameter, i.e.  $\mathcal{Q}_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} =: \mathcal{Q}_{j-k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ , the solvability condition (17) must hold without exception [11]. Thus we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{j-k-1}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \mathcal{Q}_{j+1-k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}}{\mathcal{Q}_{j-k}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \mathcal{Q}_{j-k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}} \stackrel{!}{=} 1 \quad (25)$$

for arbitrary  $j, k, \sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ . For  $\sigma = \sigma'$  and  $j = k + 1$  this yields

$$\frac{Q_0^{\sigma,\sigma} Q_2^{\sigma,\sigma}}{Q_1^{\sigma,\sigma} Q_1^{\sigma,\sigma}} = Q_2^{\sigma,\sigma} Q_{-1}^{\sigma,\sigma} Q_{-1}^{\sigma,\sigma} = 1 \tag{26}$$

which is exactly  $\exp(-i\Phi_\sigma)$  in the rhs of equation (24) for the translationally invariant case. For deformations of the statistics which are not translationally invariant, the spectrum is modified even for a free gas of such particles instead. In the limit  $U \rightarrow 0$ , the phases have to be picked up by proper convergence of  $\sin p_j$  and  $\zeta_a$  linearly in  $U/t$ . As a result,  $N_\uparrow$  momenta differ from the undeformed values  $p_j^0 = \frac{2\pi}{L}k$  by  $(\Phi_\uparrow \bmod 2\pi)/L$  and  $N_\downarrow$  momenta differ by  $\Phi_\downarrow/L$ . The energy formula has the form

$$E = -2t \sum_\sigma \sum_{i_\sigma=1}^{N_\sigma} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}l_{i_\sigma} + \frac{\Phi_\sigma}{L}\right). \tag{27}$$

To find the ground state, one has to find the distribution of the distinct integers  $l_{i_\sigma}$  leading to minimal energy. This distribution depends on the filling, discriminating less than quarter filling from fillings in between  $1/4$  and  $1/2$ . Fillings beyond half filling are to be extracted from the Bethe result exploiting the particle-hole symmetry of the Hubbard model [13]. In the thermodynamic limit, due to the absent symmetry of the momentum distribution for the ground state, a finite contribution of the integral over the momenta to order  $(1/L)^0$  emerges in addition to finite-size corrections to order  $(1/L)^1$ . Since the energy itself is of order  $L$ , we will turn to energy densities, i.e. the energy per site  $\varepsilon := \frac{E}{L}$ . Defining  $N_{\min} := \min(N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow)$ ,  $\varepsilon_\sigma := \Phi_\sigma \bmod 2\pi$ ,  $\varepsilon_{cm} := (\varepsilon_\uparrow + \varepsilon_\downarrow)/2$  and  $\Delta\varepsilon := |\varepsilon_\uparrow - \varepsilon_\downarrow|/2$ , one obtains for the ground state energy density  $\varepsilon_\Phi^0 =: \varepsilon_0^0 + \Delta\varepsilon^0$

$$\Delta\varepsilon^0 = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} -\frac{2t}{\pi L} \Delta\varepsilon \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi N_{\min}}{L}\right) + \frac{t}{\pi L^2} (\varepsilon_{cm} + \Delta\varepsilon)^2 \sin \frac{\pi N}{L} \\ -\frac{2t}{\pi L^2} \varepsilon_{cm} \Delta\varepsilon \sin \frac{\pi |N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow|}{L} & \Phi_\uparrow \Phi_\downarrow < 0 \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} -\frac{2t}{\pi L} \Delta\varepsilon \left(\cos \frac{\pi N}{L} + \cos \frac{\pi |N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow|}{L}\right) + \frac{t}{\pi L^2} (\varepsilon_{cm} + \Delta\varepsilon)^2 \sin \frac{\pi N}{L} \\ -\frac{2t}{\pi L^2} (\varepsilon_{cm}^2 + \Delta\varepsilon^2) \sin \frac{\pi |N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow|}{L} & \Phi_\uparrow \Phi_\downarrow > 0 \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{N}{2L} \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} -\frac{2t}{\pi L} \Delta\varepsilon \left(\cos \frac{\pi N}{L} - \cos \frac{\pi |N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow|}{L}\right) + \frac{t}{\pi L^2} (\varepsilon_{cm} + \Delta\varepsilon)^2 \sin \frac{\pi N}{L} \\ -\frac{2t}{\pi L^2} (\varepsilon_{cm}^2 + \Delta\varepsilon^2) \sin \frac{\pi |N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow|}{L} & \Phi_\uparrow \Phi_\downarrow > 0 \quad \frac{N}{2L} < \frac{1}{4} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

It is seen that a contribution linear in  $1/L$  can occur only for non-vanishing  $\Delta\varepsilon$ . Otherwise, the effect is only visible in finite systems to second order in  $1/L$ . On the other hand  $\Delta\varepsilon = 0$  also implies that there is no phase factor in front of the spin part of the Bethe equation, so that an application of the string hypothesis is unaffected for this case.

In conclusion, we have shown how DES is connected to long-range correlations of Schulz-Shastry type. The connection has been revealed by realizing operators obeying DES through composites of fermionic operators. From equations (18)–(23) we conclude that solvable DES produces modifications in the boundary condition (supporting the general idea of the relationship between statistics and topology in one dimension). It is important to notice that this is a peculiarity of solvable DES. DES which are not solvable affect the physics in a different

(more complicated) way. Preliminary results have indeed shown that they modify the spectrum of a system with open boundary conditions.

The characterization concerning the CBA solvability of Schulz–Shastry type models [11] provides the conditions which the deformation  $Q$  must fulfil in order that the deformed Hubbard model is CBA solvable. The results obtained in [4, 5] appear as special cases within the class of statistics studied here. It is worth noting that deformation effects can be seen already for a free gas of such particles. Its ground state energy as a function of the deformation parameters is calculated up to second order in  $1/L$ , and it contains also a contribution linear in  $1/L$  and in the asymmetry in the boundary phases  $\Delta\varepsilon$ .

We found that correlated deformed exchange statistics (with  $Q_{j,k}^{\sigma,\sigma'}$  being a functional of  $n_{m,\mu}$ ) cannot be realized in terms of fermionic operators. Work is in progress along this direction.

Motivating discussions with G Falci, R Fazio, G Giaquinta, A Kundu, M Rasetti and P Schwab are gratefully acknowledged in addition to support through the SFB 484 and the *Graduiertenkolleg* ‘Nonlinear problems in analysis, geometry, and physics’ (GRK 283), financed by the German Science Foundation (DFG) and the State of Bavaria.

## References

- [1] Halperin B I 1985 *Phys. Rev. B* **25** 2185  
MacDonald A 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** 220
- [2] Myrheim J 1998 Anyons *Topological Aspects Of Low Dimensional Systems* (Berlin: Springer)
- [3] Polychronakos A P 1998 Generalized statistics in one dimension *Topological Aspects Of Low Dimensional Systems* (Berlin: Springer)
- [4] Amico L, Osterloh A and Eckern U 1998 *Phys. Rev. B* **58** 1703R and references therein
- [5] Osterloh A, Amico L and Eckern U 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** L87
- [6] Bozejko M and Speicher 1994 *Math. Ann.* **300** 97  
Jorgensen P E T, Schmitt L M and Werner R F 1995 *J. Funct. Anal.* **134** 33  
Jorgensen P E T, Proskurin D P and Samoilenko Yu S 2000 *Preprint math-ph 0001011*
- [7] Marcinek W 1998 *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41** 155
- [8] Bethe H 1931 *Z. Phys.* **71** 205
- [9] Sutherland B 1985 *Exactly Solvable Problems in Condensed Matter and Relativistic Field Theory (Lecture Notes in Physics 242)* ed B S Shastry, S S Jha and V Singh (Berlin: Springer)
- [10] Schulz H J and Shastry B S 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 1924
- [11] Osterloh A, Amico L and Eckern U 2000 *Nucl. Phys. B* **588** 531
- [12] Greenberg O W 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** 705  
Greenberg O W 1991 *Phys. Rev. D* **43** 4111  
Wu L-A, Wu Z-Y and Sun J 1992 *Phys. Lett. A* **170** 280
- [13] Lieb E H and Wu F Y 1968 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20** 1445